BEING AWAY OR BEING THERE: BRITISH HOLIDAYMAKERS' MOTIVATIONS AND EXPERIENCES VISITING ALANYA, TURKEY

A Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

By

Muhammet KESGIN

Department of Travel and Aviation, Faculty of Design Media and Management, Buckinghamshire New University

Coventry University

January, 2013

This copy of the thesis has been supplied on condition that anyone who consults it is understood to recognise that its copyright rests with its author under the terms of United Kingdom Copyright Acts. No quotation from the thesis and no information derived from it may be published without proper acknowledgement.

Abstract

This thesis investigates British holidaymakers' motivations, behaviours and experiences visiting Alanya, Turkey, based on the analysis of quantitative and qualitative data gathered through structured self-administrated questionnaire and semi-structured interviews. Employing a convenience sample of 505 holidaymakers visiting a coastal holiday resort in the Mediterranean, the thesis provides a destination-based analysis of the tourist experience process in three analytical phases. Utilising qualitative and quantitative techniques, the study investigates and addresses, in particular, two specific issues: Motivators, constraints and facilitators of holiday choice; and evaluation of holiday/destination behaviour and experiences. The underlying characteristic of Alanya holiday was found to be seeking 'fun in the sun'. 'Being away' was more important than 'being there' as long as sunny weather and the 'right price' were guaranteed. Coastal pleasures dominated the holiday activity, and social and cultural contacts were also significant. Motivations, constraints and facilitators were significant determinants of holiday choice and holiday activity patterns. Supporting consumption experiences were the facilitators of peak experiences. Both peak and supporting consumption experiences were crucial in combination for holidaymakers' satisfaction. Preferences and perceptions indicated both similarities and differences between holidaymakers. Differences that are rather more significant were based on repeat visitation patterns. Socio-demographic characteristics were more significant for pre-and on-site experience phases. Trip characteristics were more significant for on-site- and post-experience phases. This study adds substantially to our understanding of tourist motivations, behaviours and experiences and provides additional evidence in terms of their complexity and heterogeneity. Demonstrating the relevance of peak touristic experiences and supporting consumption experiences, the study highlighted the importance of the constraints/facilitators, on the structural, interpersonal and intrapersonal levels. Using mixed methods research design and studying three phases of the tourist experience process simultaneously, the study provides both a theoretical and a methodological base for future research. The study has important implications for the management and marketing of destination experiences. This study calls for cross-national research involving its replication with random sampling in the same resort area, and other tourist resorts in the Mediterranean.

Acknowledgements

The subject of this thesis and the experience that I had gone through as a Ph.D. researcher has similarities. It was like a 'journey' as tourists go through. It started with anticipation; I was positive and enthusiastic about the excitement of professional development, enlightenment and self-actualization, but I also was worried of being away from home and the family ... More pros than cons ... so I decided to come to the UK. Like many holidaymakers, I was physically inactive. However, it still was one the most challenging times that I had ever experienced. At times, it was frustrating and full of angst, demanding constant effort for a long time. The journey was one of personnel development; I hope, I am a much more humble person, listening and thinking more before, I act or react. This personal note heralds that this journey is coming to an end, a significant achievement in my life. I will always recall the memorable experiences of this journey.

Acknowledgements first go to my supervisors: Dr Ali Bakir for providing careful supervision and hospitality and Dr Eugenia Wickens for inviting me on this journey, and guiding me throughout the process. Thank you both for your patience, without your help I could not have accomplished this thesis. I would also like to thank Laura Bray for providing excellent atmosphere and moral support. Thanks for colleagues and friends who were always available and helpful during my Ph.D. experience: Paul, Anne, William, Maria, Karen, Elitza, Alan, Iba, Prem, Vaso, Jeeva, Carol, Emily, Ina, Muhammet, Yakup, Fatih, Aziz, Adem, Tarkan and Sönmez.

I am also grateful for Buckinghamshire New University and Faculty for providing bursary and assistance. Thanks to those who participated in this research and assisted me during the fieldwork. I must express my appreciation to those many individuals who in one way or another have given support, guidance, encouragement and inspiration for my academic accomplishments: Dr Ahmet Aktaş, Dr Bahattin Ozdemir, Dr Aydın Çevirgen, Dr Muzaffer Uysal, Dr Fevzi Okumuş, Dr Kemal Kantarcı, Dr Ertuğrul Tarcan, Dr Jafar Jafari, Dr Mathilda van Niekerk, Dr Chris Ryan, Dr Yüksel Ekinci, Dr Jenny Tilbury, and Dr John Boylan.

My warmest thanks to my wife Derya, my daughter Ezel, my parents, my parents in law, my brothers and sister for their entire support, love and understanding.

Author's declaration

I, Muhammet Kesgin, declare that the work presented in this thesis is original. It has been produced by me, except as acknowledged in the text, as the result of my own research. The material has not been submitted, either in whole or in part, for a degree at this or any other university.

As cited below, it should be noted that the jointly authored article has been published based on some of the findings in chapter five and their relevant literature in chapter two:

Kesgin, M., Bakir, A. and Wickens, E. (2012) Being away or being there? British tourists' motivations holidaying in Alanya, Turkey. In: Sharpley, R. and Stone, P. (Eds) *Contemporary Tourist Experience: Concepts and Consequences*. London: Routledge, pp.113-29.

Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Author's declaration	iv
Contents	v
List of Illustrations	vii
List of Tables	X
Abbreviations	xiii

1. CH	IAPTER ONE: Overview of the thesis	1
1.1.	Introduction	1
1.2.	Setting the scene: why British holidaymakers in Turkey?	1
1.3.	Background to the research	3
1.4.	The research problem	5
1.5.	Research aim and objectives	7
1.6.	Conceptual and methodological framework	
1.7.	Thesis outline	10
2. CH	IAPTER TWO: Literature review	11
2.1.	Introduction	11
2.1.	Understanding tourist behaviour and experience	
2.1	.1. Typologies in tourism	16
2	2.1.1.1. Early studies on tourist types and experiences	
2	1.1.2. Later studies on tourist types and experiences	
2.1	.2. Motivation in tourism	
2.1	.3. The push and pull conceptual scheme	
2.1	.4. Other approaches to the study of tourist motivation	
2.2.	Empirical evidence on motivation and holiday experiences	56
2.2	.1. Early studies	56
2.2	.2. Studies using push and pull framework	
2.2	.3. Studies based on Mediterranean summer holidays	71
2.3.	Conclusion	79

3. Cl	HAPTE	R THREE: Research Methodology	
3.1.	Introdu	uction	
3.2.	Philos	ophical and methodological considerations	
3.2	2.1. Th	ne nature of the research process	
3.2	2.2. Ph	nilosophical considerations	
3.2	2.3. Re	esearch strategies	
3.3.	Resear	rch design	
3.3	3.1. Da	ata collection procedures	
	3.3.1.1.	Population and sample	101
	3.3.1.2.	Instruments, variables and materials	
3.3	3.2. Da	ata analysis and validation procedures	
	3.3.2.1.	Analysis of quantitative data	
	3.3.2.2.	Analysis of qualitative data	117
3.3	3.3. Et	hical considerations	
3.4.	Conclu	usion	
4. Cl	HAPTE	R FOUR: Study setting and tourist profile	
4.1.	Introdu	uction	
4.2.	Study	setting	
4.2	2.1. Th	ne tourist destination: Turkey, Antalya, Alanya	
4.2	2.2. Br	ritish tourists	
4.3.	Touris	t profile	
4.3	3.1. So	ocio-demographic profile	
4.3	3.2. Pa	st holiday experiences	
4.3	3.3. Tr	ip characteristics	
4.3	3.4. Bo	ooking behaviour	
4.4.	Conclu	usion	
5. Cl	HAPTE	R FIVE: Motivations holidaying in Alanya	
5.1.	Introdu	uction	
5.2.	Analys	sis of push factors	
5.3.	Analys	sis of pull factors	
5.4.	Analys	sis of constraining/facilitating factors	174
5.5.	Choos	ing a holiday in Alanya	
5.6.	Discus	ssion of results	

6.	CH	IAPTER SIX: Experiences holidaying in Alanya	209
6	.1.	Introduction	209
6	5.2.	Analysis of holiday activities	209
6	.3.	Analysis of hotel/destination attributes	218
6	.4.	Perceptions of most/least enjoyed experiences in Alanya	232
6	.5.	Analysis of holiday/destination evaluation	241
6	.6.	Memorable impressions of holidaymaking in Alanya	263
6	.7.	Discussion of results	266
7.	CH	IAPTER SEVEN: Conclusion	279
7	.1.	Introduction: British holidaymakers in Alanya	279
7	.2.	Summary of the major findings	279
7	.3.	Implications, recommendations and contribution of the study	291
7	.4.	Other contributions of the study, limitations, and future research	301
RE	FEI	RENCES	303
AP	PEN	NDICES	355
A	Appe	ndix 1: Questionnaire	355
A	Appe	ndix 2: Interview Guide	363
A	Appe	ndix 3: Quantitative findings	364
A	Appe	ndix 4: Qualitative findings	388
A	Appe	ndix 5: Qualitative data from the questionnaire survey	391

List of Illustrations

Figure 1-1 Conceptual framework of the thesis	9
Figure 1-2 Thesis outline	
Figure 2-1 The expectancy model of holiday preference and choice	
Figure 3-1 A framework for design	97
Figure 3-2 Research question one and chapter four	106
Figure 3-3 Research question two and chapter five	
Figure 3-4 Research questions three and four and chapter six	107
Figure 3-5 Research questions five and six and chapter six	

Figure 4-1 Tourist Resorts in Turkey	. 126
Figure 4-2 Tourist Resorts in Antalya	. 127
Figure 4-3 Alanya's logo and slogan	. 130
Figure 5-1 Push factors by gender	. 157
Figure 5-2 Push factors by age groups	. 157
Figure 5-3 Push factors by marital status	. 158
Figure 5-4 Push factors by education	. 158
Figure 5-5 Push factors by country	. 159
Figure 5-6 Push factors by revisiting patterns	. 159
Figure 5-7 Push factors by experience levels	. 160
Figure 5-8 Push factors by length of stay	. 160
Figure 5-9 Push factors by accommodation	. 161
Figure 5-10 Push factors by boarding type	. 161
Figure 5-11 Push factors by location	. 162
Figure 5-12 Pull factors by gender	. 166
Figure 5-13 Pull factors by age	. 167
Figure 5-14 Pull factors by marital status	. 167
Figure 5-15 Pull factors by education	. 168
Figure 5-16 Pull factors by country	. 168
Figure 5-17 Pull factors by revisiting patterns	. 171
Figure 5-18 Pull factors by experience levels	. 171
Figure 5-19 Pull factors by length of stay	. 172
Figure 5-20 Pull factors by accommodation type	. 172
Figure 5-21 Pull factors by boarding type	. 173
Figure 5-22 Pull factors by location	. 173
Figure 5-23 Constraining/facilitating factors by gender	. 177
Figure 5-24 Constraining/facilitating factors by age	. 178
Figure 5-25 Constraining/facilitating factors by marital status	. 178
Figure 5-26 Constraining/facilitating factors by education	. 179
Figure 5-27 Constraining/facilitating factors by country	. 179
Figure 5-28 Constraining/facilitating factors by revisiting patterns	. 180
Figure 5-29 Constraining/facilitating factors by experience level	. 181
Figure 5-30 Constraining/facilitating factors by length of stay	. 181

Figure 5-31 Constraining/facilitating factors by accommodation	. 182
Figure 5-32 Constraining/facilitating factors by boarding type	. 182
Figure 5-33 Constraining/facilitating factors by location	. 183
Figure 5-34 Rankings of 16 factors by revisiting patterns	. 188
Figure 5-35 Rankings of 16 factors by revisiting patterns	. 188
Figure 6-1 Number of mentioned holiday activities	. 211
Figure 6-2 Hotel/destination attributes by gender	. 225
Figure 6-3 Hotel/destination attributes by age	. 225
Figure 6-4 Hotel/destination attributes by marital status	. 226
Figure 6-5 Hotel/destination attributes by education	. 226
Figure 6-6 Hotel/destination attributes by country	. 227
Figure 6-7 Hotel/destination attributes by revisiting patterns	. 227
Figure 6-8 Hotel/destination attributes by experience levels	. 230
Figure 6-9 Hotel/destination attributes by length of stay	. 230
Figure 6-10 Hotel/destination attributes by accommodation type	. 231
Figure 6-11 Hotel/destination attributes by boarding type	. 231
Figure 6-12 Hotel/destination attributes by location	. 232
Figure 6-13 Number of most/least enjoyed experiences by categories	. 233
Figure 6-14 Overall evaluation by gender	. 252
Figure 6-15 Overall evaluation by age	. 252
Figure 6-16 Overall evaluation by marital status	. 253
Figure 6-17 Overall evaluation by education	. 253
Figure 6-18 Overall evaluation by country	. 254
Figure 6-19 Overall evaluation by revisiting patterns	. 254
Figure 6-20 Overall evaluation by experience levels	. 255
Figure 6-21 Overall evaluation by length of stay	. 255
Figure 6-22 Overall evaluation by accommodation type	. 256
Figure 6-23 Overall evaluation by boarding type	. 256
Figure 6-24 Overall evaluation by location	. 257
Figure 6-25 Most/least enjoyed experiences and positive/negative descriptions	. 265
Figure 6-26 Ratios of tourist experiences and perceptions of Alanya	. 265
Figure 7-1 Summary of study's overall findings	. 289
Figure 7-2 Summary of constructs	. 299

List of Tables

Table 2-1 The attributes of wanderlust and sunlust tourism 22
Table 2-2 Classification of types of tourists in early studies 27
Table 2-3 Urry's five forms of tourist gazes
Table 2-4 Old and new tourists compared
Table 2-5 Push and pull classification adapted from literature
Table 2-6 Positive and negative experiences by percentage 59
Table 2-7 Positive and negative experiences by illustrative concepts
Table 2-8 Push-pull motivations based on pleasure travel market surveys
Table 3-1 Multidimensional continuum of research projects 94
Table 3-2 Benefits and challenges of research strategies
Table 3-3 A summary of data collection phases
Table 3-4 Contents of the questionnaire and interview guide by type of analysis 110
Table 4-1 Tourist arrivals and receipts in Turkey (1963-2010)124
Table 4-2 Leading tourism-receiving countries by arrivals and receipts124
Table 4-3 International Tourist arrivals in Antalya and Alanya (2002-2010) 129
Table 4-4 UK residents' visits to Turkey
Table 4-5 Respondents by country and gender 133
Table 4-6 Respondents by age group and gender
Table 4-7 Respondents by family status and gender
Table 4-8 Respondents by education and gender
Table 4-9 Respondents by employment status and gender
Table 4-10 Respondents by occupation status and gender
Table 4-11 Holiday life-styles of respondents by gender
Table 4-12 Holiday life-styles of respondents by age
Table 4-13 Past holiday experiences by age 141
Table 4-14 Past holiday experiences by Turkey revisiting patterns
Table 4-15 Turkey revisiting patterns by age 143
Table 4-16 Socio-demographic and trip characteristics by revisiting patterns 144
Table 4-17 Timing of holiday booking 145
Table 4-18 Summary of decision making items 146

Table 4-19 Decision making by gender	146
Table 4-20 Decision making by age groups1	146
Table 4-21 Decision making by marital status	147
Table 4-22 Themes and comments based decision-making 1	147
Table 5-1 Summary of push motivational items 1	152
Table 5-2 Summary of push factors from PCA 1	153
Table 5-3 Push factors by socio-demographic characteristics 1	155
Table 5-4 Push factors by trip characteristics 1	156
Table 5-5 Summary of pull motivational items 1	163
Table 5-6 Summary of pull factor loadings from PCA	164
Table 5-7 Pull factors by socio-demographic characteristics 1	169
Table 5-8 Pull factors by trip characteristics 1	170
Table 5-9 Summary of constraining/facilitating items 1	174
Table 5-10 Summary of constraining/facilitating factor loadings from PCA 1	
Table 5-11 Constraining/facilitating factors by socio-demographic characteristics 1	177
Table 5-12 Constraining/facilitating factors by trip characteristics 1	180
Table 5-13 Summary statistics of 16 motivational and constraints factors 1	186
Table 5-14 Price sensitivity by socio-demographic and trip characteristics	190
Table 5-15 Correlations between push and pull factors 1	191
Table 5-16 Correlations between push-pull and constraining/facilitating factors 1	192
Table 5-17 Regression analysis of push and pull factors 1	193
Table 5-18 Regression analysis of 16 factors for prediction of expectations	194
Table 6-1 Illustrative quotes for holiday activities 2	210
Table 6-2 Holiday activities by socio-demographic and trip characteristics	214
Table 6-3 Holiday activities by culture & shopping seeking and price sensitivity 2	216
Table 6-4 Summary of hotel attributes	218
Table 6-5 Comments on hotel experience	221
Table 6-6 Summary of destination attribute items 2	222
Table 6-7 Summary of hotel and destination attributes from PCA	224
Table 6-8 Hotel/destination attributes by socio-demographic characteristics	228
Table 6-9 Hotel/destination attributes by trip characteristics 2	229
Table 6-10 Summary of most and least enjoyed experiences by categories	233
Table 6-11 Summary of measures on overall satisfaction 2	242

Table 6-12 Summary of overall satisfaction measures from PCA	242
Table 6-13 Summary of measures on overall evaluation and loyalty	245
Table 6-14 Summary of overall evaluation and behavioural intention from PCA 2	248
Table 6-15 Overall evaluation and behavioural intention by socio-demograp	ohic
characteristics	250
Table 6-16 Overall evaluation and behavioural intention by trip characteristics?	251
Table 6-17 Summary statistics of destination evaluation factors	257
Table 6-18 Summary of clusters on overall evaluation factors	258
Table 6-19 Regression analysis for prediction of overall satisfaction	259
Table 6-20 Regression analysis for prediction of loyalty to the resort area	260
Table 6-21 Regression analysis for prediction of loyalty to the main destination2	262
Table 6-22 Top ten words to describe Alanya	263
Table 6-23 Summary of positive and negative descriptions by categories	264

Abbreviations

3S	Sun, Sea, and Sand
ALTSO	Alanya Chamber of Commerce and Industry (in Turkish)
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
BC	Before Christ
CIT	Critical Incidents Technique
FTT	First-timers to Turkey
КМО	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin
LMS	Leisure Motivation Scale
MCT	Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Turkey)
MMR	Mixed Methods Research
NVIVO	Qualitative data analysis software
ONS	Office for National Statistics
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
PTMS	Pleasure Travel Market Surveys
QUAL	Qualitative Research
QUAN	Quantitative Research
RTA	Repeaters to Alanya
RTT	Repeaters to Turkey
SOC	Standard Occupational Classification

- SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
- TCL Travel Career Ladder
- TCP Travel Career Pattern
- TR Turkey (Turkish Republic)
- TRPS Travel Role Preference Scale
- TurkStat Turkish Statistics
- UK United Kingdom
- UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNWTO United Nations World Tourism Organization
- USA United States of America

1. CHAPTER ONE: Overview of the thesis

1.1. Introduction

This introductory chapter attempts to provide a synopsis of why and how this research is undertaken. The chapter opens with background information on a number of issues surrounding tourism, which are pertinent to the topic of this thesis. The chapter then provides the rationale of the thesis, the aims and objectives of the research, and justification of research methodology. Finally, the chapter ends with an outline of all other chapters in the thesis.

1.2. Setting the scene: why British holidaymakers in Turkey?

The purpose of this research was to investigate British holidaymakers' motivations, behaviours, and holiday experiences in Alanya, Turkey. An understanding of 'being a tourist' in general or 'being a holidaymaker' in particular has important implications for theory and practice (Sharpley and Stone 2012b; Bowen and Clarke 2009; Pearce 2005). Many distinguished writers highlight the importance of tourism as a social, cultural and economic phenomenon (Urry and Larsen 2011; Wearing, Stevenson and Young 2010; Cohen 2008). Cohen states "tourism became recognised as a major domain of contemporary life, a huge international industry, reaching out into ever more remote corners of the world, and preparing to reach into space" (2008:330). By its very nature, the defining characteristic of tourism is the movement of people; especially international movements. In 2010, the year of this study, international tourists' arrivals accounted for 940 million (UNWTO 2011). These figures are significant for United Kingdom (UK) and Turkey, as these countries are among top destinations in the world. UK is a major tourist generating country, whereas Turkey is major tourist receiving country. In the same year, 55 million British tourists travelled internationally and Turkey welcomed 27 million international tourists (ONS 2011; TurkStat 2011). Of greater concern is the visit of more than 2.5 million British holidaymakers to Turkey.

The past fifty years or so have seen increasingly significant contributions to our understanding of tourism from a variety of disciplinary perspectives (Cohen 2008;

Sharpley 2003). As a major human activity, tourism has proven to be a valuable research area with particular reference to studies into tourist behaviours and experiences (Sharpley 2011, Decrop 2006; Smith 1995). Scholars have introduced many explanations as to why holidays are significant periods for people: 'the need to escape' (Dann 1977); 'for well-being and recurperation' (Wickens 2002); 'for having fun' (Bakir and Baxter 2011); 'anticipation' (Parrinello 1993); 'having something to look forward to' (Sharpley 2003); and 'necessary part of life' (Gibson and Yiannakis 2002). Research into tourist behaviour has become field of primary importance to the consumer behaviour analysts (Bowen and Clarke 2009; Swarbrooke and Horner 2007). Rather more significantly, analysts from different social science fields have shown a growing interest in studying contemporary holiday behaviour as a manifestation of 'modern consumer culture' (Wearing *et al.* 2010; Pons, Crang and Trovlou 2009b; Van Egmond 2007; Dann 2002; Wang 2000).

Recognising multidisciplinary nature of tourism, this thesis employs an interdisciplinary perspective. Studying tourists' behaviours and experiences, the thesis attempts to contribute to marketing management of tourism. It has conclusively been shown that this endeavour has significant implications: "The management of tourism depends in part upon the successful management of tourist behaviours and experiences" (Moore, Smallman, Wilson and Simmons 2012:1), and "There is nothing more central to marketing than consumption, and nothing more central to consumption than consumers" (Gabbott 2008:109). Taking individual tourist as the unit of analysis, this study examines tourist's on-site behaviours and experiences by identifying their relationship with their antecedents and consequences (Sharpley and Stone 2012a; Pearce 2005). In so doing, this study attempts to shed some light on the nature of tourist experience, and provide implications for destination management and marketing.

The scholarly debate surrounding the nature of tourism has centred upon its 'complexity' and 'definitional fuzziness' (Reisinger 2001; Dann 1981; Cohen 1974) and it often continues to be misunderstood (Cooper and Hall 2008). It is necessary here to clarify exactly what is meant by 'tourism' (and also the 'tourist') to make the context of the thesis more explicit before moving to the research problem.

1.3. Background to the research

Many scholars argue that the term 'tourism' originated from the Latin/Greek word 'tornus', denoting 'a return journey' (Theobald 2005; Leiper 1979; Boorstin 1992). Tourists' stays in travelled destinations are said to form "tourists' relationships" and the significance of studying tourism (Urry and Larsen 2011:4). Although increasingly regarded as pleasure and leisure activity (Bowen and Clarke 2009; Uysal, Li, and Sirakaya-Turk 2008), there is little agreement on a global tourism definition due to its relevance to wide variety of areas and innumerable manifestations (Netto 2009). Many scholars, therefore, emphasise that 'defining tourism is almost conceptually impossible' (Pike 2008; Leiper 2008; Smith 1998). As such, Mill and Morrison argue: "All tourism involves travel, yet not all travel is tourism. All vacation travel involves recreation, yet not all tourism is recreation. All tourism occurs during leisure time, but not all leisure time is spent on tourism activities" (2002:1).

Recognising this complexity, the literature stresses different purposes for travelling (Holloway 2006). For example, Chadwick (1994) suggests three main categories for different travel purposes: (1) pleasure: leisure, culture, active sports, visiting friends and relatives; (2) professional: meetings, missions, business, etc. (3) other purposes: study, health, transit. Describing general commonalities such categorisation schemes enhance our understanding of different tourism types (Gee and Fayos-Sola 1997). However, contemporary tourism has become more diversified, segmented (Cohen 2008), and even the same tourism activity type has been shown to involve different behavioural and experiential patterns (Wickens 2002). Although continually treated as homogenous categories, tourists and tourists' experiences are not alike (Pearce 2005; Wang 2000). Tourism researchers are, therefore, suggested to clarify the context, tourism or tourist types when presenting the results of their research (McCabe 2005; Wickens 1999).

Dealing with tourists' relationships holidaying at a Mediterranean coastal resort, this thesis regarded tourism as a pleasure travel incorporating leisure and recreation activities in the travelled destination (Page and Connell 2010:15). To this end, the type of tourists in this thesis was 'holidaymakers' (Ryan 2003; Pearce 1982).

In understanding this complex process, scholars have developed generic tourism system models based on tourists' geographical movements to destinations (Leiper 2008; Mill and Morrison 2002; Gunn 1994). In their respective conceptualisations, these models differ little, and they all highlight the fact that tourism depends on destinations (Saraniemi and Kylänen 2011). Relevant to this destination-based study, the push-pull based model has been shown to be useful to contextualise the position occupied by destinations within the overall tourism system (Prideaux 2009). Push represents origin-based factors (e.g. demand, motivation), and pull represents destination-based factors (e.g. tourist activities, attractions and facilities). Travel and marketing constitute other components of system models. This thesis utilised push-pull model to address some of its key research questions.

Tourism as a business subset requires knowledge of how it is produced and consumed so that it can be managed effectively (Sharpley and Stone 2012a, 2011a; Cooper and Hall 2008). The literature reveals that tourism is a complex production and consumption system involving commodities, goods, services, experiences and ideas (Sirakaya and Woodside 2005). Although providing an exact tourism product definition is inherently found to be difficult, it can be suggested that tourists are consumers of various service industries as they purchase and consume a 'global bundle of services and commodities' (Page and Connell 2006; Burns and Holden 2005). However, there also exist complexities in relation to being a service industry (e.g. intangible, inseparable, and perishable) (Williams and Buswell 2003). Service aspects of tourism consumption experience are part of tourism product and they constitute commercial experiences (McIntyre 2007; Quan and Wang 2004). It should, however, be noted that not all tourist experiences are provided by tourism service suppliers (Reisinger 2001). For example, place and people are also seen as essential components of tourism experiences (Ryan 2003:324). These components are not necessarily commercial, and, therefore, it is important to recognise both the commercial and non-commercial aspects of tourism consumption experience (MacCannell 2002).

The following part focuses on the purpose of the research and outlines its methodological design.

1.4. The research problem

This thesis attempted to provide a picture of tourism consumption process in a coastal holiday resort setting. The literature demonstrates that more information is required on the holiday behaviour and experiences of tourists visiting coastal holiday resorts (Morgan 2010; Pons *et al.* 2009b; Wickens 2002), especially in Turkey (Aktas, Cevirgen and Toker 2010; Duman and Kozak 2010). Tourist motivation and satisfaction were prime concerns of this thesis. Satisfaction has been regarded as a fundamental issue; Kilbourne argues, "The primary issue of economics now is the satisfaction of consumer preferences *as they exists* [italics in original]" (2010:365). The literature suggests that preferences are related to both tourist motivation and satisfaction (Gilbert 1991). It has been shown that tourists' preference analysis provides an appropriated lens in studying the tourism consumption process (Pearce 2005). Studying tourism consumption system has practical implications for tourism business (Sharpley and Stone 2011a).

Consistent with literature, this thesis utilised the phrase 'tourist behaviour' both in the meaning of physical (i.e. human body, tourist behaviour) and mental (i.e. human mind, tourist experience) activities. This perspective suggests that tourist's experiences (thoughts, feelings, and reactions) cannot be separated from tourist's behaviours (actions) (Pearce 2011; Bowen 2008; Pearce 2005). This perspective also allows linking and differentiating common and unique concepts in 'tourist behaviour' and 'consumer behaviour' (Bowen and Clarke 2009; Pearce 2005; Seaton 1996). The term tourism consumption has also been utilised to involve the meaning of tourism experience and vice versa.

Over the last five decades, there have been attempts to map out all related concepts of tourist behaviour (see Bowen and Clarke 2009; Pearce 2005; Cohen 2004; Ryan 2002). These initial attempts have often produced grand models or large systems with consideration of both micro and macro levels of analysis and phases of consumption or experience process (Smallman and Moore 2010; Bowen and Clarke 2009). The literature reveals several contributions to this area: e.g. 'vacation tourist behaviour model' (Moutinho 1987); vacation decision-making (Van Raaij and Francken 1984); 'travel buying behaviour' (Mathieson and Wall 1982). It must be

noted that there have been serious reservations regarding these grand models (Kassarjian and Goodstein 2009; Bowen and Clarke 2009; Gilbert 2001). In part due to these criticism, there exists partial or alternative models in the literature: e.g. 'an activities-based model of destination choice' (Moscardo, Morrison, Pearce, Lang and O'Leary 1996), 'a stimulus-response model of buyer behaviour' (Middleton 1994); and, 'a general model of traveller destination choice' (Woodside and Lysonski 1989). Using grand and partial models, studies often concentrate on decision-making with an emphasis on buying process (information search and selection) and the production of supply. These studies are considered as mainstream or conventional (Kassarjian and Goodstein 2009).

In recent years, the literature has seen critical reviews of these modelling approaches (see Moore *et al.* 2012; Hyde and Decrop 2011; Smallman and Moore 2010; Bowen and Clarke 2009). Criticising grand models, recent studies consider issues related to both decision-making and consumption experience with an emphasis on the consumption and co-creation (Mittal *et al.* 2010; Eka *et al.* 2008; Holbrook and Hirschman 1982). A number of recent illustrations are: 'vacation decision making' (Decrop 2006); 'the concept map for understanding tourist behaviour' (Pearce 2005); 'the tourist experience' (Ryan 2002c); and 'tourism consumption system' (Woodside and Dubelaar 2002). Recent evidence suggests that these approaches provide deeper understanding of tourist behaviour and reflects a clear departure from the mainstream literature (Pearce 2011; Decrop 2010; Bowen and Clarke 2009; Jones, Shaw and McLean 2009).

Based on these considerations, the relevant literature recognises three key analytical phases for tourism consumption experience: pre-purchase (pre-experience) or anticipatory, purchase (on-site experience) or experiential, and post-purchase (post-experience) or reflective (Cutler and Carmichael 2010). Each phase has specific characteristics and covers related but distinct concepts (Pearce 2005). Examining these phases simultaneously helps to provide more robust and comprehensive understanding of tourist experience. This comprehensive understanding has theoretical and practical implications (Ryan 2010).

In the light of the literature, this thesis concerns comprehensive analysis of tourist experience in three analytical phases. Experiential phase covers the tourists' visit to the destination and it forms the core element of this process (Ryan 2002c). This phase involves three levels of contacts with the destination: social, cultural and environmental (Pearce 2005). The literature stresses key influential factors of experiential phase and their significance for subsequent satisfaction and enjoyment (Ryan 2002c). The literature demonstrates that tourists' on-site experiences have antecedents (i.e. anticipation) and consequences (i.e. profit, destination loyalty). It is clear that a destination's ultimate concern is the consequences of tourists' visits of the destination (Crouch 2011). To achieve positive outcomes, destinations compete with one another to provide memorable tourists' experiences (Ritchie and Crouch 2005). This requires an understanding of the antecedents of experiential phase, as well as ensuring to provide appropriate settings for satisfactory tourists experiences during the experiential phase (Tung and Ritchie 2011; Pearce 2005; Ryan 2002c).

The design of this thesis was guided by these recent conceptualisations (Sharpley and Stone 2011a; Pearce 2011; Morgan, Lugosi and Ritchie 2010; Ryan 2010; Bowen and Clarke 2009; Uysal *et al.* 2008; Decrop 2006; Pearce 2005; Quan and Wang 2004; Woodside and Dubelaar 2002; Ryan 2002c; Reisinger 2001; Wickens 1999). Examining tourist experiences in three analytical phases, this thesis aims to provide a richer understanding of the phenomenon rather than to test a grand model of tourist behaviour. This approach is consistent with those of other studies; it is based on the analysis of tourist experiences and/or behaviours in three analytical phases. However, it is necessary here to note that due to the breadth and complexity of process, it is important to recognise that this endeavour is a troublesome effort.

1.5. Research aim and objectives

In an attempt to shed light on the tourist experience, this study aimed at to understand pre-, on-site- and post-experiences of British holidaymakers' visits to Alanya. Consulting a large body of the literature, four specific objectives of this study were:

1. To examine socio-demographic and trip related characteristics of British holidaymakers in Alanya.

- 2. To examine British holidaymakers' motivation and behaviour choosing a holiday in Alanya.
- 3. To examine British holidaymakers' on-site behaviour and experience holidaying in Alanya.
- 4. To examine British holidaymakers' overall evaluation of holidaying in Alanya.

To fulfil the research objectives, the following research questions were developed:

- 1. What are the socio-demographic and trip characteristics of British holidaymakers in Alanya?
- 2. What are the push-pull motivations and facilitating/constraining factors that influence British holidaymakers choosing a holiday in Alanya?
- 3. What are the holiday activities undertaken and which destination areas visited by British holidaymakers in the course of their holiday in Alanya?
- 4. What are the significant factors that influenced the British holidaymakers' onsite holiday experiences in Alanya?
- 5. What are the significant factors that influenced British holidaymakers' overall evaluation of their holiday in Alanya?
- 6. What are the significant factors that influenced British holidaymakers' loyalty to Alanya and Turkey?

1.6. Conceptual and methodological framework

This thesis embraced several constructs in relation to the phases of tourist experience process (see Figure 1-1). Anticipatory phase examined the relevance and influence of tourist motives (push factors), destination attributes (pull factors), constraints and facilitators of holiday choice. Experiential phase covered the analysis of holiday activity participation, destination areas visited, perceptions of hotel/destination attributes, and most/least enjoyed experiences. Reflective phase investigated tourists' evaluative assessments concerning overall satisfaction, destination loyalty and

memorable impression of holiday destination. The study also stressed the relevance and influence of socio-demographic and trip characteristics on tourist motivations, behaviours and experiences.

This thesis contributes to research into tourist motivation, behaviour and experience. A review of the literature reveals that there exists a rich and growing body of empirical research on tourist motivation, tourist satisfaction and destination loyalty (Ryan 2010; Bowen and Clarke 2009; Uysal *et al.* 2008). However, more research is required on constraints and facilitators, holiday activities and perceptions of destination attributes (Bowen and Clarke 2009; Meng *et al.* 2006; Quan and Wang 2004). Developing a holistic approach, this study is different from many other studies, as it simultaneously deals with a variety of constructs in three phases of tourism experience process (Cutler and Carmichael 2010). This approach allows the investigation of relationship among the constructs of these phases (e.g. motivation and actual holiday behaviour) (Lee *et al.* 2002).



Figure 1-1 Conceptual framework of the thesis

Combining both qualitative and quantitative data, this study utilises mixed methods research design. Methodologically, this study is different from many other studies, especially from those studies using quantitative research strategies, which are dominant in the field. The general research design used in this thesis is similar to the approaches used by Kao, Patterson, Scott, and Li (2008), Yoon and Uysal (2005),

Kozak (2000), Wickens (1999), and Ryan (1994). The research design parallels recent empirical studies of tourist motivation (Jacobsen and Dann 2009; Pan and Ryan 2007), holiday activities (Carr 2002; Wickens 1999), perceptions of hotel/destination attributes (Litvin and Ling 2001), experiences (Pritchard and Havitz 2005); satisfaction (Alegre and Garau 2011) and destination loyalty (Yuksel, Yuksel and Bilim 2010).

1.7. Thesis outline

The thesis has been divided into seven chapters (Figure 1-2). The first chapter gives a brief overview of the overall thesis. In chapter two a review of literature is given and chapter three lays out the research methodology and framework. Chapter four presents a description of the study setting, and the profile of fieldwork's respondents. Chapter five presents the results for pre-experience phase. Chapter six presents the results of the analysis for on-site and post-experience phases. Finally, chapter seven presents the study's conclusion, outlining major findings, their implications for theory and practice, and suggestions for further research.



Figure 1-2 Thesis outline